

United States Department of Transportation Transportation Infrastructure Finance Workshop

July 16, 2008
Atlanta, Georgia

CREATING THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK: Legislative and Procurement Issues

Karen J. Hedlund



© Copyright, 2008 Nossaman LLP. All Rights Reserved.

The information contained herein does not constitute a legal opinion and should not be relied upon by the reader as legal advice or be regarded as a substitute for legal advice.

Traditional Procurement Tradeoffs

Advantages:

- Government maintains total control over design
- Competitive initial construction cost
- High transparency and low political risk

Disadvantages:

- No guarantee of lowest ultimate price
 - Limited cost control over design process
 - “Overdesign”
 - Exposure to change orders
 - Life cycle cost no taken into account
- 100% public funding

Delivery of New Projects through Long-Term Franchises/Concessions

Advantages:

- Large, new sources of capital
- Minimizes dependence on public revenues
- Developer assumes more environmental and development period risk
- Developer assumes traffic and revenue risk

Disadvantages:

- For-profit entities require taxable financing – PABs now available
- Less public control over operations
- Limits on rates and charges must be set by contract

Monetization of Existing Assets through Long-Term Concessions

Advantages:

- Large, new sources of capital to fund other projects
- Private sector assumes long-term revenue risk and O&M burden

Disadvantages:

- Future rates and charges may be higher than if asset retained in public ownership
- Public share in future net revenue gains is limited
- Difficult contractual issues

PPPs: Key Public Sector Objectives

- Effective Competitive Procurement Methods
- Maximize Private Sector Investment and Risk Sharing
- Limited Public Financial Exposure
- Reasonable Tolling Structure and Profits
- Opportunity for Revenue Sharing
- Quality Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance
- Effective Assurances of Performance
- Effective Remedies

Essential Elements of Authorizing Legislation, cont.

- PPP Contracting Authority
 - Contract with private party to design, build, finance, operate and maintain
 - Any of the above

Essential Elements of Authorizing Legislation

■ Procurement Authority

- Solicited proposals
- Unsolicited proposals
- Best price / best value (price and other factors) / qual-based selection
- Negotiating authority
- Evaluation factors

Essential Elements of Authorizing Legislation, cont.

- Tolling Authority
 - Electronic
 - Post-concession
 - Delegable
- Strong toll enforcement mechanisms
 - Video tolling
 - DMV data access
 - Administrative fees and penalties
 - License/registration denial
 - Civil infraction
 - Efficient court processes
 - User privacy



Path from Policy to Procurement (PPP for PPPs)

- Financing Authority
 - To issue toll revenue bonds
 - To mix public and private capital funding
- Sovereign immunity/immunity from suit
 - Private party ability to sue and obtain enforceable judgments
- Condemnation Power
 - Right to condemn property for projects that private sector will lease and operate as a business

Some Legislative Obstacles

- Legislative approval of contract (CA)
- No public contribution
- Removal of tolls upon termination (Miss.)
- 100% payment and performance bonds (various)
- No private debt issuance (WA)
- No ad valorem property tax exemption (AK)
- Short, inflexible maximum term
- Regulated utility model for setting future tolls

Model Legislation

- Several sources of model legislation
 - http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ppp/legis_model.pdf
 - ABA Model Code for Public Infrastructure Improvement
 - Nossaman's Public-Private Partnerships in Transportation Act
 - VA, OR, FL, TX etc.
- Comparison of FHWA, ABA and Nossaman model legislation

Additional Policy Issues

- Transparency vs. Confidentiality
 - Protect confidentiality of procurement data, documents, information before award
 - Protect confidentiality of private sector trade secrets and proprietary information
- Competing Facilities Protection
- Term and Extension – 35, 50, 57, 99 years?
- Limit on Return on Investment

Reasonable Tolling Structure and Profits

- Public sector objective:
 - Maximize its upfront income or long-term revenue share?
 - Minimize toll rates?
 - Prevent excessive profit?
 - Manage traffic demand and congestion?
 - Depoliticize setting toll rates?
 - Toll exemptions for critical public purposes?

Reasonable Tolling Structure and Profits - Tools

- Indexed toll rate schedule – caps future toll rates,
- Use of stated caps or inflation indices (CPI)
- Banded revenue sharing – generates public partner revenues, prevents excessive profit
- Examples:
 - Pocahontas: 40% of real net cash flow after IRR on total investment = 6.5%; 80% after IRR=8.0%
 - Segments 5 & 6: 4.65% of gross revenue until IRR on equity = 11%; 9.3% of next band of gross until IRR = 15%, 50% of all further gross

Competing Facilities

- Private sector concern protection of originally expected revenue stream
- Public sector concern maintain right to meet future mobility and safety needs
- Solution #1 Public sector free to build what it wants, but compensates for net revenue impact
- Solution #2 Developer takes risk in physically constrained or high-cost area

Competing Facilities

■ Exceptions for:

- ✓ All projects identified in transportation plans
- ✓ All projects outside a “competing facilities zone”
- ✓ Improvements for safety, maintenance or operational purposes
- ✓ Certain capacity improvements – ITS systems, metering devices, intersection grade separations, restriping that adds lanes
- ✓ HOV/HOT lane additions on other roadways
- ✓ Transit and other non-highway projects
- ✓ All projects outside public partner’s control
- ✓ All projects beyond stated time period

■ Other solutions

- ✓ First option for developer
- ✓ Availability payments

Changes in Law

- General changes in laws –
 - Developer cost risk; schedule risk varies
- Changes in tax laws
 - Income and margin taxes
 - Real property tax
 - Sales tax
 - Toll taxes
- Targeted changes in other laws
 - Toll rates and regulation
- Land-use regulation



Quality Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance

■ Issues

- How can public partner assure quality?
- Operation and maintenance standards?
- Major rehab and restoration?
- Capacity enhancements, tech'y upgrades?
- Operation within regional network?
- Congestion pricing?
- Interoperability?



Effective Assurances of Performance

- Lender skin in the game
- Bonds from design-build contractor
- Guarantees from parents of design-build contractor, O&M contractor
- Letters of credit for specific obligations – routine O&M; renewal and replacement work; handback work
- Reserves



Quality Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance

■ Tools

- Performance-based measures and standards – specify outcomes, and inspections to measure outcome achievement
- Regular reports by private partner to determine and maintain asset condition
- Financial audits, monitoring, spot testing and inspection
- Renewal and replacement scheduling and reserves
- Handback requirements

Effective Remedies

- Scale remedies to the type and severity of breach
- Liquidated damages – for delayed completion, noncompliance with routine covenants
- Step-in rights, including receivership
- Anticipatory breach and assurances of future performance for persistent default
- Termination for major uncured default
 - Lender rights to notice and cure

Payment on Termination

- Developer material default
 - Less than debt
 - No protection of equity
 - Offset by agency's damages
- Force majeure
 - Repay unaffiliated bona fide debt
 - Equity often at risk
- Termination for convenience
 - No single, well established precedent
 - Fair market value
 - Guaranteed internal rate of return
 - Full lender protection



Manage for Success

- Bring in experts that have “been there, done that”
- Obtain knowledge of the market
- Develop internal expertise
- Obtain public buy-in
- Start with one, suitable project to learn the process



Mitigating Political Risks

- Need for new/additional legislation
- Change in political will in course of procurement
 - Fear of loss of public control
 - Fear of excessive profit
 - Fear of low quality or safety
- Risk assessment and mitigation
 - Sustained public support for project
 - Assess strength of public opposition
 - Public relations and media effort
 - Educate legislators
 - Contractual standards and requirements for quality, performance, oversight
 - Contract controls on projects via revenue sharing or limits on return on equity

Final Observations

- Concession risk allocations and management are unique in many respects
- Full range of revenue and cost risk allocations leads to complex, detailed documents
- Long concession term as a going concern with equity investment and rates of return supports broader private risk assumption

Contact

Karen J. Hedlund

Partner

Nossaman LLP

2111 Wilson Blvd, Suite 1110

Arlington, VA 22201

703.682.1751

khedlund@nossaman.com

nossaman.com